



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Microbiology Research Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_6873
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Identification and Optimization of Cultural Conditions of Bacillus sp. for Alkalo-thermostable Amylase Production
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The discussion is very poor and needs to explain the results and not just mention the other references. 2. Results of carbon sources were not clear, especially the figure contains the different times which need to explain very clear. 3. the decreased decline of amylase production in the presences of beef extract must be explained.	1. Has been explained the results properly. Effect of different carbon sources, starch and beef extract concentrations on amylase production. 2. In line: 185-201, effect of carbon sources on amylase production with time has been explained. 3. In line: 227-231, decline of amylase production in the presence of beef extract has been explained.
Minor REVISION comments	1. The company and country of manufacture of instruments used in methods must be included in the section of materials and methods.	1. The details of company and country of manufacture has been incorporated in materials and methods in lines: 55, 64, 73
Optional/General comments	The discussion and conclusions must be extended to make the results very clear.	Discussion and conclusion has been extended for further clarity.